

## Using Grass Forages in Dairy Cattle Rations

L. E. Chase<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Animal Science  
Cornell University*

### Abstract

Grass forages are a viable option for dairy producers to consider when making crop planning decisions. A primary reason to consider using grass forages in the cropping program is soil resource limitations that may limit the potential for growing alfalfa or corn silage. Research data indicates that milk production from rations containing high quality grass forages can be similar to alfalfa based rations. There are a number of dairy farms using high quality grass forages and attaining high levels of milk production. Mixed grass pastures are also the forage base for most pasture based dairy farms in the Northeast. Grass forages have advantages in terms of manure application in nutrient management plans. Dairy producers should work with their crop consultant to determine the forages type that best fit their soil resource base. The potential production and use of high quality grass forages should be part of this decision making process.

### Introduction

Alfalfa and corn silages are the predominant forages used in dairy rations in the U.S. However, there are many areas that have soil resource characteristics that limit the potential for growing either alfalfa or corn silage. When these crops are grown on these soils, yields are low and the cost of forage dry matter (**DM**) produced is high. The persistence of alfalfa stand life is also limited in these situations. Grass forages are an excellent agronomic

option to grow on these soils. With proper harvest management and nitrogen fertilization, high yields of high quality forages can be produced. In New York, both the southern and northern portions of the state have soil resource characteristics that may limit the use of alfalfa or corn silage. Grass forages also have an advantage over alfalfa in terms of manure nutrient application and use in herds with comprehensive nutrient management plans. Herds utilizing rotational pasture systems in the Northeast have traditionally relied on mixed stand grasses as the predominant forage. There have been a large number of research papers evaluating the incorporation of grass forages in rations containing alfalfa or corn silage. This topic has been covered at a recent conference (Combs, 2012). This paper will focus on the use of grass forages as the primary forage in lactating cow rations. A paper at this conference in 2011 covered the production and harvesting of grass forages (Johnson, 2011).

### Grass Versus Legume Forages

Table 1 contains nutrient composition data for legume and grass silages analyzed at the Dairy One Forage Lab (Ithaca, NY) over a 1 year period. A primary difference between legume and grass silages is the acid detergent fiber (**ADF**) to neutral detergent fiber (**NDF**) ratio. This is wider in grasses since they have a larger hemicellulose fraction. The hemicellulose content of forage can be estimated by subtracting the ADF from NDF. Lignin, as a % of NDF, is also lower in the grass samples. Even

<sup>1</sup>Contact at: 272 Morrison Hall, Ithaca, NY 14853, (607) 255-2196, FAX: (607) 255-1335, Email: lec7@cornell.edu.

though the 30-hour in vitro total DM digestibility (**IVTD**) values are similar for these forage types, the 30-hour in vitro NDF digestibility (**NDFD**) of grasses is higher than the legume value.

A recent paper reviewed some of the considerations when comparing legume and grass forages (Paulson et. al., 2008). Recently, a paper was presented dealing specifically with the use of grass forages in dairy rations (Mertens and Huhtanen, 2007). They used the NDF energy intake system to formulate example rations using grass forages. A forage mixture with 25% alfalfa (40% NDF) and 75% grass (55% NDF) was used to develop maximum forage rations for cows producing 77 to 121 lb/day of milk and an optimum NDF intake of 1.2% of body weight (**BW**). The 48-hour in vitro NDFD for the grass forage was 60%. Total DM intake increased from 47.5 to 64.9 lb/day as milk production increased, while total ration NDF decreased from 36.1 to 26.5%. The forage mixture decreased from 61.4 to 36.9% of the total ration DM with increasing milk production. A second example was for a cow producing 99 lb/day of milk when the same forage mixture was used, but the NDF content of the grass varied between 51 and 59%. As the grass forage NDF increased, forage decreased from 52.4 to 43.3% of the total ration DM, while total DMI was relatively constant. A third simulation was done assuming that the 48-hour in vitro NDFD was 76%. In this situation, total NDF intake was increased to 1.28% of BW. Forage decreased from 71.1 to 42.8% of the total ration DM as milk production increased. These simulations provide examples of the relationships between forage NDF, NDFD, and level of milk production on the quantity of forage that can be incorporated into a ration.

### Forage Intake in Dairy Cattle

A key consideration in evaluating forages for use in dairy rations is potential forage and total ration DM intake. There are a number of factors

that influence potential forage intake. These include NDF content, NDF digestibility, passage rate, particle size, effective NDF, fill potential, fragility of the particle, and indigestible NDF. Mertens (2010) provided updated information on the NDF-Energy Intake system for formulating dairy rations. A total ration NDF intake of 1.25% of BW was found to optimize production of 4% fat-corrected milk across various forages when corn and soybean meal were the primary energy and protein sources in the ration. If an amylase-treated NDF organic matter value is used, the total NDF intake value is lowered to 1.2% of BW. This value may not be the maximum NDF intake that a cow could consume, but it is an estimate of the maximum intake while maximizing milk production. This predicted value includes both forage and non-forage components in the ration. This system can be used to develop rations with either maximum or minimum levels of forage.

### Grass Forages in Lactating Cow Rations

Table 2 is a summary of a number of research studies using grass forages in dairy rations. In some studies, there was a direct comparison of alfalfa and grass forages. There is some variation in the trial designs in terms of how the quantity of forage to be included in the ration was determined. This makes it difficult to make direct comparisons between studies and assess the true potential differences between alfalfa and grass forages.

Broderick et. al. (2002) compared alfalfa and perennial ryegrass silages in dairy cattle rations with the same total ration NDF content. The alfalfa ration was 51% forage, while the ryegrass rations contained 41% forage. Both DM intake and milk production were higher for cows on the alfalfa rations. A second trial at Wisconsin also compared alfalfa and perennial ryegrass in dairy rations (Hoffman et. al., 1998). In this trial, both rations were about 69% forage. Milk production and DM intake were higher for cows fed alfalfa silage.

Voelker-Linton and Allen (2008) compared cows fed alfalfa silage (43% NDF) and orchardgrass silage (48% NDF). These rations contained 23% forage NDF but differed in the proportion of forage in the total ration. There were no differences in 3.5% fat-corrected milk or DM intake due to forage type. Weiss and Shockey (1991) also compared alfalfa silage (40% NDF) and orchardgrass silage (52% NDF) in dairy rations. These forages were fed in rations containing 20, 40, or 60% grain. Cows fed alfalfa silage consumed about 4 lb/day more ration DM, but there were no differences in milk production between the 2 forages. There was no benefit in this trial of feeding rations containing more than 40% grain.

A series of trials have been done at Cornell evaluating a variety of grass forages to replace alfalfa in dairy rations. These trials were under the direction of Dr. J. H. Cherney in the Department of Crop and Soil Sciences. Table 2 contains data from some of these trials. One of the early trials compared early and late cut orchardgrass (Cherney et. al., 2002a). These orchardgrass silages contained 51 to 56 % NDF. The forage feeding level used was 0.95% of BW as forage NDF. Milk production and DM intake were significantly higher for cows fed the early cut orchardgrass. A second component of this trial used an orchardgrass silage (55% NDF) fed at 50, 60, 70, and 80% of the total ration DM. Ration NDF levels increased from 34.6 to 46.3% as the proportion of the orchardgrass increased. Dry matter intake and milk production decreased linearly as the level of forage in the ration increased. Total ration NDF intake was similar for all 4 levels of forage inclusion in the ration.

A trial was conducted by the same workers using 2 levels of tall fescue (Cherney et. al., 2003). The tall fescue silage used during this trial ranged between 55 and 63% NDF. Rations used contained either 46.8 (36% NFC) or 57.7% tall fescue silage (31% NFC). Milk production was higher for cows fed the lower tall fescue and higher NFC rations

(87 vs. 84 lb/day). Dry matter intake also was higher for these same cows. Feed efficiency was similar for both rations. A later trial compared alfalfa, fescue, or orchardgrass silages for mid-lactation cows (Cherney et. al., 2004). Two orchardgrass silages (49 and 51% NDF), two tall fescue silages (45 and 55% NDF), and one alfalfa silage (41% NDF) were used. All rations were formulated to contain 0.95% of BW as forage NDF. Actual forage NDF intakes ranged from 0.96 to 1.13% of BW. Milk production was similar for the alfalfa, one orchardgrass (51% NDF), and one tall fescue (45% NDF) at about 89 lb/day. Dry matter intake also did not differ between these 3 rations. However, cows fed orchardgrass and fescue consumed slightly more grain than cows fed alfalfa. Milk production and DM intake were lower for the other orchardgrass and fescue rations.

## Pasture Research Results

The data in Table 3 are from selected studies using grass forages in pasture based systems. These studies used grass as the only forage. Milk production varies depending on the proportion of grass forage in the ration and the quality of the grass. These grass forages were consistently < 50% NDF and accounted for 60 to 100% of the total ration DM consumed. The most striking parameter is the high levels of forage NDF consumed per day when expressed as a percentage of BW. The range is from 1.01 to 1.46%, which is much higher than the 0.9% that has frequently been used as a maximum forage NDF intake guideline. This may reflect the high quality, vegetative grass used in most of these studies.

## Using Grass Forages on Dairy Farms

Table 4 contains sample rations from 8 herds that utilize grass forages in their rations rather than alfalfa due to soil resource considerations. These herds were selected based on high milk production levels. Most of these herds use varying ratios of

corn silage to grass silage. However, note that Herd C used basically an all grass silage feeding program. The small amount of corn silage listed is actually a seasonally available sweet corn cannery byproduct. This is a 250 cow herd that usually ships 75 to 85 lb/cow/day of milk, depending on the quality of the grass forage at harvest. Key points from this table are:

1. Grass silage NDF range from 46 to 57%. Our goal for grass silage in early lactation cow rations is 50 to 55% NDF. These herds have high quality grass forages available.
2. The percent of the total ration as forage ranges from 42 to 78%.
3. Total ration NDF ranges from 20.2 to 33.8% of the total ration DM.
4. Forage NDF intake, expressed as a percentage of BW, ranges from 0.8 to 1.18%. These herds are feeding high levels of forage NDF.
5. Ration CP levels range from 14.3 to 17.7%. A number of these herds are feeding high forage, low protein rations. Herd D has fed a low CP (<15%) ration for about 3 years and consistently ships about 90 lb/cow/day of milk.

The data in Table 4 are provided to indicate that high levels of milk production can be attained using grass forages in place of alfalfa in dairy rations. It is important to remember that these herds produce “high quality” grass forages that are high in nutrient value and digestibility. Higher NDF grass forages will not be able to support these levels of milk production without high levels of grain feeding that can impair animal health.

### Summary

High quality grass forages can support high levels of both DM intake and milk production when used as the primary forage in dairy cattle rations. In many research studies, similar levels of milk production have been reported when grass forages were compared with alfalfa. These observations

should provide confidence to dairy producers regarding the potential for incorporating grass forages in dairy rations. The question of what forages should be produced on the farm is largely a decision based on soil resources and forage management systems. There are significant forage crop acres that are not suited to alfalfa production but can be used very effectively for growing grasses. A number of states in the Northeast and Midwest conduct forage variety yield trials and publish data on yields of alfalfa and grass forages. This information should be used as part of the decision making process in terms of which forage to grow on specific farms. A challenge is that the grass varieties from the various companies often change faster than animal intake and performance data can be obtained on specific grass varieties. However, both research and on-farm observations do support the value of including high quality grass forages in dairy rations.

### References

- Bargo, F., L.D. Muller, J.E. Delahoy, and T.W. Cassidy. 2002. Performance of high producing dairy cows with three different feeding systems combining pasture and total mixed rations. *J. Dairy Sci.* 85:2948.
- Broderick, G.A., R.G. Koegel, R.P. Walgenbach, and T.J. Kraus. 2002. Ryegrass or alfalfa silage as the dietary forage for lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 85:1894-1901.
- Cherney, D.J.R., J.H. Cherney, and L.E. Chase. 2002a. Performance of lactating Holstein cows as influenced by forage species, maturity, and level of inclusion. *The Prof. Anim. Scientist.* 18:316-323.
- Cherney, D.J.R., J.H. Cherney, and L.E. Chase. 2002b. Influence of lucerne/fescue silage mixtures on milk production of early-lactation Holstein cows. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.* 11:555-564.

- Cherney, D.J.R., J.H. Cherney, and L.E. Chase. 2003. Influence of dietary nonfiber carbohydrate concentration and supplementation of sucrose on lactation performance of cows fed fescue silage. *J. Dairy Sci.* 86:3983-3991.
- Cherney, D.J.R., J.H. Cherney, and L.E. Chase. 2004. Lactation performance of Holstein cows fed fescue, orchardgrass, or alfalfa silage. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87:2268-2276.
- Combs, D. 2012. Feeding grass to high producing dairy cows: Could including a little grass be a good thing? *Proc. Wisconsin Crop Management Conf.* 51:91-95.
- Delahoy, J.E., L.D. Muller, F. Bargo, T.W. Cassidy, and L.A. Holden. 2003. Supplemental carbohydrate sources for lactating dairy cows on pasture. *J. Dairy Sci.* 86:906-915.
- Dewhurst, R.J., W.J. Fisher, J.K.S. Tweed, and R.J. Wilkins. 2003. Comparison of grass and legume silages for milk production. 1. Production responses with different levels of concentrate. *J. Dairy Sci.* 86:2598-2611.
- Eun, J.S., V. Fellner, J.C. Burns, and M.L. Gumpertz. 2003. Eastern gammagrass evaluated as hay or silage for lactating dairy cows. *The Professional Anim. Scientist.* 19:362-369.
- Hansen, W.P., D.E. Otterby, J.G. Linn, and F.D. Donker. 1991. Influence of forage type, ratio of forage to concentrate and methionine hydroxyl analog on performance of dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74:1361-1369.
- Hoffman, P.C., D.K. Combs, and M.D. Casler. 1998. Performance of lactating dairy cows fed alfalfa silage or perennial ryegrass silage. *J. Dairy Sci.* 81:162-168.
- Holden, L.A., L.D. Muller, and S.L. Fales. 1994. Estimation of intake in high producing Holstein cows grazing grass pasture. *J. Dairy Sci.* 77:2332-2340.
- Johnson, K.D. 2011. Growing and harvesting high quality grasses for dairy cattle. *Proc. Tri-State Dairy Nutr. Conf., Ft. Wayne, IN.* Pp:139-147.
- Kolver, E.S., and L.D. Muller. 1998. Performance and nutrient intake of high producing Holstein cows consuming pasture or a total mixed ration. *J. Dairy Sci.* 81:1403-1411.
- Mertens, D.R. 2010. NDF and DMI - Has anything changed? *Proc. Cornell Nutr. Conf., Syracuse, NY.* Pp: 160-174.
- Mertens, D.R., and P. Huhtanen. 2007. Grass forages: Dynamics of digestion in the rumen. *Proc. New York Ruminant Health-Nutrition Conf., Syracuse, NY and 47<sup>th</sup> Annual New England Dairy Feed Conf., West Lebanon, NH.* Pp: 1-20.
- Paulson, J., H. Jung, M. Raeth-Knight, and J. Linn. 2008. Grass vs. legume forages for dairy cattle. *Proc. Minnesota Nutr. Conf.* Pp.: 119-133.
- Reis, R.B., and D.K. Combs. 2000. Effects of increasing levels of grain supplementation on rumen environment and lactation performance of dairy cows grazing grass-legume pastures. *J. Dairy Sci.* 83:2888-2896.
- Ruiz, R., G.L. Albrecht, L.O. Tedeschi, G. Jarvis, J.B. Russell, and D.G. Fox. 2001. Effect of monensin on the performance and nitrogen utilization of lactating cows consuming fresh forage. *J. Dairy Sci.* 84:1717-1727.
- Schor, A., and G.A. Gagliostro. 2001. Undegradable protein supplementation to early-lactation dairy cows in grazing conditions. *J. Dairy Sci.* 84:1597-1606.

Voelker-Linton, J.A., and M.S. Allen. 2008. Nutrient demand interacts with forage family to affect intake and digestion responses in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91:2694-2701.

Weiss, W.P., and W.L. Shockley. 1991. Value of orchardgrass and alfalfa silages fed with varying amounts of concentrates to dairy cows. 74:1933-1943.



**Table 1.** Nutrient composition of alfalfa and grass forages.<sup>1</sup>

Item <sup>2</sup>	Alfalfa Silage, average	Alfalfa Silage, normal range <sup>3</sup>	Grass Silage, average	Grass Silage, normal range
DM, %	41.36	30.3 – 52.4	37.0	22.5 – 51.5
Crude protein, % of DM	22.1	19.2 – 25.0	16.2	12.2 – 20.1
Soluble CP, % of CP	58.1	49.0 – 67.3	54.2	43.7 – 64.7
ADF, % of DM	33.6	29.6 – 37.6	36.6	32.1 – 41.1
NDF, % of DM	43.7	38.2 – 49.3	56.7	49.9 – 63.4
Lignin, % of DM	7.4	6.1 – 8.6	5.15	3.5 – 6.76
Nonfiber carbohydrates, % of DM	23.6	19.3 – 27.8	17.7	12.1 – 23.3
Fat, % of DM	3.70	2.97 – 4.50	4.00	3.10 – 4.97
Ash, % of DM	10.6	8.7 – 12.5	9.4	6.75 – 12.0
NE <sub>L</sub> , Mcal/lb	0.64	0.58 – 0.70	0.60	0.51 – 0.68
IVTD, % - 30 hour	79.2	75.2 – 83.3	79.3	74.1 – 84.5
NDFD, % - 30 hour	51.5	45.4 – 57.6	63.3	56.4 – 70.1
Ammonia-N - % of total N	12.1	0.38 – 23.9	12.1	3.1 – 21.1
Calcium, % of DM	1.40	1.14 – 1.66	0.61	0.38 – 0.84
Phosphorus, % of DM	0.33	0.28 – 0.38	0.34	0.26 – 0.41
Magnesium, % of DM	0.28	0.23 – 0.32	0.23	0.17 – 0.29
Potassium, % of DM	2.77	2.24 – 3.30	2.62	1.85 – 3.40

<sup>1</sup>Source: Dairy One Forage Lab (Ithaca, NY), samples analyzed between May, 2010 and April, 2011.

<sup>2</sup>DM = Dry matter, CP = crude protein, ADF = acid detergent fiber, NDF = neutral detergent fiber, NE<sub>L</sub> = net energy for lactation, IVTD = in vitro total DM digestibility, and NDFD = in vitro NDF digestibility.

<sup>3</sup>Normal range = Average plus or minus 1 standard deviation.

**Table 2.** Lactation trials comparing alfalfa and grass forages.

Trial	Forage Source <sup>1</sup>	NDF in Forage, %		Forage, % of Ration		Total Ration NDF, %	Forage NDF, % of Total Ration DM		Forage NDF Intake, % of Body Weight		Ration DMI, lb/day	Milk lb/day	Milk Fat, %
		of DM	DM	DM	DM		% of Total Ration DM	% of Total Ration DM	DMI, lb/day	DMI, lb/day			
Cherney et.al. 2002a	OG	50.8	48.2	37.4	24.5	0.92	45.1	77.4	3.73				
	OG	56.1	44.1	38.8	20.6	0.86	37.6	69.5	3.94				
	OG	55.0	50.0	34.6	27.5	1.07	49.3	66.4	3.53				
	OG	55.0	60.0	38.1	33.0	1.18	45.1	64.2	3.52				
	OG	55.0	70.0	42.0	38.5	1.11	38.1	59.6	3.58				
	OG	55.0	80.0	46.3	44.0	1.23	35.6	56.3	3.44				
Cherney et.al., 2004	ALF	40.6	62.1	27.0	25.2	1.05	56.1	89.1	3.70				
	OG1	51.3	53.5	27.9	27.4	1.11	59.4	88.9	3.41				
	OG2	49.2	51.2	32.3	25.2	0.96	49.1	75.7	3.69				
	FES1	45.0	58.9	28.8	26.5	1.13	59.0	88.9	3.46				
	FES2	55.1	48.2	32.0	26.5	0.98	49.9	81.2	3.61				
Broderick et. al., 2002	ALF	43.5	51.2	27.8	22.3		55.4	90.4	3.08				
	PRYE	49.5	40.6	27.7	20.1		37.0	78.3	2.80				
Weiss and Shockey, 1991	ALF	40.1	80.0	35.4	32.1		46.9	52.4	3.86				
	ALF	40.1	60.0	30.6	24.1		49.3	60.1	3.06				
	ALF	40.1	40.0	25.6	16.0		51.0	60.9	3.04				
	OG	52.5	80.0	45.8	42.0		37.6	46.4	3.58				
	OG	52.5	60.0	39.1	31.5		45.1	59.0	3.28				
	OG	52.5	40.0	30.9	21.0		48.0	58.5	3.26				
Enu et.al., 2003	EGS	74.9	83.3	63.8	62.4	1.78	36.1	63.6	3.44				
	EGS	74.9	71.1	58.7	53.2	1.60	40.5	68.9	3.72				
	EGS	74.9	56.0	48.3	41.9	1.32	40.7	72.8	3.35				
	EGS	74.9	29.2	31.0	21.8	0.82	49.5	81.8	3.43				



Hoffman et. al., 1998	ALF	43.8	69.7	35.7	30.5	1.12	49.5	69.9	3.61
	PRYE	46.8	68.1	37.1	31.9	1.05	44.7	66.4	3.76
Hansen et. al., 1991	ALF	49.5	60.0	38.5	29.7	1.00	44.0	62.6	
			50.0	32.1	24.7	0.84	44.4	65.4	
			40.0	25.7	19.8	0.7	45.8	68.2	
	BRG	63.6	60.0	47.2	38.2	1.35	46.1	64.9	
			50.0	39.2	31.8	1.11	45.3	68.4	
			40.0	31.12	25.4	0.89	45.5	68.9	
Cherney et. al., 2003	FES	60.0	46.8	35.1	28.1	1.07	47.4	87.2	3.25
			57.7	39.6	34.6	1.31	44.9	84.4	3.45
Cherney et. al., 2002b	ALF	34.2	84.0	30.2	28.3	1.00	45.1	71.0	3.66
	FES	56.1	51.0	31.3	28.6	1.17	54.1	89.1	3.33
Dewhurst et. al., 2003	ALF	45.0	65.6	39.9			44.9	60.9	
	GR	52.7	62.0	44.0			40.0	54.8	
Voelker Linton and Allen, 2008	ALF	42.6	53.0	26.3	22.5	0.87	46.0	60.9	3.98
	OG	48.0	48.0	27.0	23.0	0.86	44.0	61.4	4.39

<sup>1</sup>ALF = alfalfa, OG = orchardgrass, FES = tall fescue, PRYE = perennial ryegrass, BRG = bromegrass, EGS = Eastern gamagrass. and

**Table 3.** Lactation trials using alfalfa and grass pastures.

Trial	Forage Source	NDF in Forage		Forage, % of Ration		Total Ration NDF, %	Forage NDF, % of Ration DM		Forage Intake, % of BW	Ration DMI, lb/day	Milk lb/day	Milk Fat, %
		Forage, % of DM	DM	DM	DM							
Bargo et. al., 2002	Mixed Grass	49.9	60.0	36.5	30.0	1.08	47.5	62.7	3.13			
Reis and Combs, 2000	Grass: legume (50:50)	38.1	100.0	38.1	38.1		30.6	48.0	3.89			
		38.1	71.8	30.6	27.3		38.9	59.0	3.50			
Delahoy et. al., 2003	OG (Expt. 1)	38.1	49.3	25.9	18.8		43.6	66.9	3.08			
	OG (Expt. 2)	45.7	67.6	36.8	30.9	1.04	48.9	53.5	3.65			
Holden et. al., 1994	Mixed Grass (April)	51.8	59.6	39.9	30.9	1.01	44.7	60.5	3.53			
Kolver and Muller, 1998	Mixed Grass	41.3	65.2	34.6	26.9	1.00	46.9	79.2	2.80			
		43.2	100.0	43.2	43.2	1.46	41.8	65.1	3.72			
Ruiz et. al., 2001	OG (green chop)	51.8	74.3	39.8	38.5	1.43	45.8	62.7	3.42			
Schor and Gagliostro, 2001	Mixed Grass (SBM)	45.0	70.0	35.0	31.5	1.10	43.1	54.8	3.30			
	Mixed Grass (BM)	45.0	75.0	38.6	33.8	1.38	50.3	64.5	3.26			

<sup>1</sup>OG = Orchardgrass, SBM = soybean meal, and BM = blood meal.

**Table 4.** Example herd rations for Holstein herds using grass forages.

Item	Herd A	Herd B	Herd C	Herd D	Herd E	Herd F	Herd G	Herd H
Formulated Milk, lb/day	88.0	90.0	88.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	80.0	78.0
DMI, lb/day	59.0	52.0	53.5	54.5	56.5	55.3	51.0	48.0
Grass forage NDF, %	48.3	47.5	57.5	53.0	48.0	48.0	46.0	52.8
Forage, % of Ration DM	63.7	49.0	42.0	59.0	49.0	61.0	78.0	60.0
Total Ration NDF, %	33.3	33.3	36.0	31.4	32.5	34.2	35.4	32.3
Ration Forage NDF, % of DM	29.3	23.8	24.3	28.0	20.2	26.8	33.8	27.4
Forage NDF intake, % of BW	1.11	1.04	0.90	1.00	0.81	1.04	1.18	0.86
Ration CP, %	15.8	17.7	15.7	14.3	16.6	16.7	15.3	17.3
Corn silage, lb DM/day	13.4	11.5	1.5 <sup>a</sup>	17.0	14.8	16.5	24.0	12.8
Straw, lb DM/day	0.90							
Hay, lb DM/day			3.0					
Grass silage, lb DM/day	23.2	14.0	21.0	12.0	13.0	17.0	16.0	16.0

<sup>a</sup> Sweet corn cannery byproduct.